UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

William J. Froehlich, Chairman Nicholas G. Trikouros Dr. William E. Kastenberg

In the Matter of:

FirstEnergy NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

(Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-346-LR ASLBP No. 11-907-01-LR-BD01 March 28, 2012

NOTICE AND ORDER

(Scheduling Oral Argument)

On January 10, 2012, Beyond Nuclear, Citizens Environment Alliance of Southwestern

Ontario, Don't Waste Michigan, and the Green Party of Ohio (collectively Intervenors) filed a

proposed Contention 5 in this proceeding.¹ This Board issued an Order on February 13, 2012

stating that we would hear oral argument on its admissibility.²

Contention 5 as proposed by the Intervenors reads as follows:

Interveners contend that FirstEnergy's recently-discovered, extensive cracking of unknown origin in the Davis-Besse shield building/secondary reactor radiological containment structure is an aging-related feature of the plant, the condition of

¹ Motion for Admission of Contention No. 5 on Shield Building Cracking (Jan. 10, 2012) ("Contention 5").

² Licensing Board Order (Denying Unopposed Motion for Leave to Respond to NRC Staff's Answer to Proposed Contention 5 and Setting Contention 5's Admissibility for Oral Argument) (Feb. 13, 2012) at 2 (unpublished) ("Order").

which precludes safe operation of the atomic reactor beyond 2017 for any period of time, let alone the proposed 20-year license period.³

FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (FENOC) and NRC Staff filed answers to the

motion on February 6, 2012.⁴ FENOC asserts that Contention 5 is inadmissible because it is

untimely and it fails to satisfy the contention admissibility criteria of 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(f)(1).⁵

NRC Staff argues that Contention 5 was filed untimely, but that the Intervenors have satisfied the

requirements for the filing of an untimely contention, despite Intervenors' failure to make such an

argument in their motion.⁶ NRC Staff also argues that Contention 5 is admissible in part, and

proposes the following language for Contention 5:

Is the Structures [Aging Management Program] adequate to address any aging effects for the shield building that are related to the cracks identified by FENOC during the October 10, 2011 reactor head replacement and subject to a root cause evaluation to be provided by FENOC on February 28, 2012 such that the shield building would be unable to perform its intended functions of: 1) protecting the steel containment from environmental effects, including wind, tornado, and external missiles, 2) providing biological shielding, 3) providing controlled release to the annulus during an accident, and 4) providing a means for collection and filtration of fission product leakage from the Containment Vessel following a hypothetical accident?⁷

On February 9, 2012, FENOC filed an unopposed motion requesting leave from the Board

to file a short response to the NRC Staff's Answer.⁸ The Intervenors filed a reply on February 13,

⁶ NRC Staff Answer at 13-14.

⁷ <u>Id.</u> at 16.

⁸ FENOC's Unopposed Motion for Leave to Respond to the NRC Staff's Answer to Proposed Contention 5 on Shield Building Cracking (Feb. 9, 2012).

³ Contention 5 at 11.

⁴ <u>See</u> FENOC's Answer Opposing Intervenors' Motion for Admission of Contention No. 5 on Shield Building Cracking (Feb. 6, 2012) [hereinafter "FENOC Answer"]; NRC Staff's Answer to Motion to Admit New Contention Regarding the Safety Implications of Newly Discovered Shield Building Cracking (Feb. 6, 2012) [hereinafter "NRC Staff Answer"].

⁵ FENOC Answer at 2.

2012.⁹ That same day this Board issued an Order denying FENOC's motion for leave to respond to the NRC Staff and setting Contention 5 for oral argument.¹⁰

The oral argument will be held on Friday, May 18, 2012, in the Common Pleas Courtroom of the Ottawa County Courthouse, 315 Madison Street, in Port Clinton, Ohio. Argument will commence at 9:00 a.m. and will conclude no later than 4:30 p.m..

Only the duly authorized representatives or counsel for the Intervenors, FENOC, and the NRC Staff who have entered an appearance pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.314(b) will be entitled to participate. The oral argument will proceed as follows. First, we will hear short opening statements, limited to ten minutes each, from the Intervenors, FENOC and the NRC Staff. Second, we will hear oral argument on the admissibility of Contention 5. Third, we will hear closing statements, limited to five minutes each, from each of the parties.

Counsel for the parties should be prepared to address the admissibility of Contention 5 as proposed by the Intervenors as well as the version of Contention 5 proposed by the NRC Staff. Should the parties reach an agreement on the form or admissibility of the contention prior to the scheduled oral argument, they are requested to inform the Board.

No witnesses, other representatives of the parties, or members of the public will be heard at this time. However, members of the public and representatives of the media are welcome to attend and observe this proceeding. This is an adjudicatory proceeding and the Board intends to conduct an orderly oral argument, focused solely on whether some form of Contention 5 should be admitted. Signs, banners, posters, and displays are prohibited in accordance with NRC policy.¹¹

⁹ Intervenors' Combined Reply in Support of Motion for Admission of Contention No. 5 (Feb. 13, 2012).

¹⁰ See Order at 2.

¹¹ <u>See</u> Procedures for Providing Security Support for NRC Public Meetings/Hearings, 66 Fed. Reg. 31,719 (June 12, 2001).

All interested persons should arrive at least fifteen minutes early so as to allow sufficient time to pass through any security screening.

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

/RA/

William J. Froehlich, Chairman ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rockville, Maryland March 28, 2012

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

FIRST ENERGY NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

Docket No. 50-346-LR

(Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing NOTICE AND ORDER (Scheduling Oral Argument) have been served upon the following persons by Electronic Information Exchange.

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication Mail Stop O-7H4M U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 E-mail: <u>ocaamail@nrc.gov</u>

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Mail Stop T-3F23 Washington, DC 20555-0001

William J. Froehlich, Chair Administrative Judge E-mail: <u>william.froehlich@nrc.gov</u>

Nicholas G. Trikouros Administrative Judge E-mail: <u>nicholas.trikouros@nrc.gov</u>

William E. Kastenberg Administrative Judge E-mail: <u>wek1@nrc.gov</u>

Hillary Cain, Law Clerk E-mail: <u>hillary.cain@nrc.gov</u> Matthew Flyntz, Law Clerk E-mail: <u>matthew.flyntz@nrc.gov</u> Office of the Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-16C1 Washington, DC 20555-0001 Hearing Docket E-mail: <u>hearingdocket@nrc.gov</u>

Office of the General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-15D21 Washington, DC 20555-0001 Edward L. Williamson, Esq. E-mail: <u>edward.williamson@nrc.gov</u> Lloyd B. Subin, Esq. E-mail: <u>lloyd.subin@nrc.gov</u> Brian Harris, Esq. E-mail: <u>brian.harris@nrc.gov</u> Catherine Kanatas, Esq. E-mail: <u>catherine.kanatas@nrc.gov</u> Brian P. Newell, Paralegal E-mail: <u>brian.newell@nrc.gov</u>

OGC Mail Center : OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov

FirstEnergy Service Company. Mailstop: A-GO-15 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 David W. Jenkins, Esq. E-mail : <u>djenkins@firstenergycorp.com</u> Docket No. 50-346-LR NOTICE AND ORDER (Scheduling Oral Argument)

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20004 Stephen Burdick, Esq. E-mail: sburdick@morganlewis.com Alex Polonsky, Esq. E-mail: apolonsky@morganlewis.com Kathryn M. Sutton, Esg. E-mail: ksutton@morganlewis.com Martin O'Neill. Esg. E-mail: martin.oneill@morganlewis.com Timothy Matthews, Esq. E-mail: tmatthews@morganlewis.com Brooke Leach, Esq. E-mail: bleach@morganlewis.com Jane Diecker, Esq. E-mail: jdiecker@morganlewis.com Mary Freeze, Legal Secretary E-mail: mfreeze@morganlewis.com

Citizens Environmental Alliance (CEA) of Southwestern Ontario 1950 Ottawa Street Windsor, Ontario Canada N8Y 197

Green Party of Ohio 2626 Robinwood Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43610

Don't Waste Michigan 811 Harrison Street Monroe, Michigan 48161 Michael Keegan E-mail: <u>mkeeganj@comcast.net</u>

Terry J. Lodge, Counsel for CEA, Don't Waste Michigan, and Green Party of Ohio 316 N. Michigan Street, Suite 520 Toledo, OH 43604-5627 E-mail: <u>tjlodge50@yahoo.com</u>

Beyond Nuclear 6930 Carroll Avenue Suite 400 Takoma Park, Md. 20912 Kevin Kamps E-mail : <u>kevin@beyondnuclear.org</u> Paul Gunter E-mail : <u>paul@beyondnuclear.org</u>

[Original signed by Christine M. Pierpoint] Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 28th day of March 2012